

ONCOLOGY: Molecular Biomarkers of Colorectal Cancer

Samples to Test: Metastatic or recurrent tumor is preferable if available and adequate*; primary tumor is an acceptable alternative. **Sample Types to Test:** Formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue (FFPE) or other type of specimens (e.g., cytology). * *Lynch syndrome screening is recommended for all primary colorectal cancers.*

Biomarker	Specific Alterations/ Alternative Names	Indications	Result Interpretation/ Significance	Assay Techniques
KRAS	Mutations in codons 12, 13 of exon 2; codons 59, 61 of exon 3; codons 117, 146 of exon 4	Consideration of anti-EGFR therapy Should be performed in all patients with metastatic CRC	Patients with these mutations should not be treated with panitumumab and cetuximab Significant PFS advantage for adding anti-EGFR therapy for <i>KRAS</i> WT tumors compared to chemotherapy alone	NGS, pyrosequencing, Sanger sequencing, genotyping, PCR-based assays
NRAS	Mutations in codons 12, 13 of exon 2; codons 59, 61 of exon 3; codons 117, 146 of exon 4	Consideration of anti-EGFR therapy Should be performed in all patients with metastatic CRC	Patients with these mutations should not be treated with panitumumab and cetuximab	NGS, pyrosequencing, Sanger sequencing, genotyping, PCR-based assays
BRAF	<i>BRAF</i> V600; V600E, V600K	Prognostic stratification	Poorer PFS and OS compared to <i>BRAF</i> WT patients	NGS, pyrosequencing, Sanger sequencing, genotyping, PCR-based assays
		Consideration of anti-EGFR therapy	Unlikely response to panitumumab and cetuximab unless given with a <i>BRAF</i> inhibitor (2)	
		In MMRd tumors with <i>MLH1</i> loss	Presence of mutation strongly favors sporadic tumor; the presence of <i>BRAF</i> mutations does not exclude the risk of Lynch Syndrome	
NTRK	Fusions	Therapy selection	Predicts response to larotrectinib (2)	NGS, pyrosequencing, FISH, IHC, PCR-base assays
MSI/ MMR	Loss of <i>MLH1</i> , <i>PMS2</i> , <i>MSH2</i> , <i>MSH6</i> expression and/or MSI-high status	Lynch syndrome screening	Consideration of genetic counseling and germline testing (in the absence of <i>BRAF</i> mutation or <i>MLH1</i> promoter methylation)	IHC, PCR-based assays
	MSI-high	Therapy selection (stage II patients)	Improved prognosis and no benefit from 5-FU adjuvant therapy Consideration of immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy	
MLH1 promoter methylation	Methylation of <i>MLH1</i> promoter	<i>MLH1</i> loss by IHC	Presence of <i>MLH1</i> promoter methylation in a setting of <i>MLH1</i> loss suggests sporadic origin	Methylation assays

Abbreviations: CRC - colorectal cancer; NGS - next generation sequencing; PFS - progression free survival; OS - overall survival; WT - wild type (non-mutant); MMRd - mismatch repair deficient; MSI - microsatellite instability; IHC - immunohistochemistry

Note: Insufficient evidence to recommend *PIK3CA* mutational analysis for therapy selection outside of clinical trial. Insufficient evidence to recommend *PTEN* testing (IHC or FISH) for therapy selection outside of clinical trial.

Where to Test: Testing should be performed in the laboratories that are certified under Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA-88) as qualified to perform high complexity (molecular pathology) testing.

References:

1. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Clinical practice Guidelines in Oncology. Colon Cancer. Version 4.2020 – June 15, 2019; NCCN.org. accessed 9/8/2020



Prepared by the Association for Molecular Pathology Training and Education Committee
For more educational resources, see: www.amp.org/AMPEducation

"Molecular in My Pocket" reference cards are educational resources created by the Association of Molecular Pathology (AMP) for laboratory and other health care professionals. The content does not constitute medical or legal advice, and is not intended for use in the diagnosis or treatment of individual conditions. See www.amp.org for the full "Limitations of Liability" statement.